Mutual mages



AUTUMN 2020

ARTISTS, AESTHETICS, AND ARTWORKS FROM, AND IN CONVERSATION WITH, JAPAN PART 2

MUTUAL IMAGES

ISSUE 9 – AUTUMN 2020

Mutual Images

A TRANSCULTURAL RESEARCH JOURNAL

FOUNDED BY

AURORE YAMAGATA-MONTOYA, MAXIME DANESIN & MARCO PELLITTERI

Previously published issues

ISSUE 1 - Between Texts and Images: Mutual Images of Japan and Europe

ISSUE 2 – Japanese Pop Cultures in Europe Today: Economic Challenges, Mediated Notions, Future Opportunities

ISSUE 3 – Visuality and Fictionality of Japan and Europe in a Cross-Cultural Framework

ISSUE 4 – Japan and Asia: Representations of Selfness and Otherness

ISSUE 5 – Politics, arts and pop culture of Japan in local and global contexts

ISSUE 6 - Mediatised Images of Japan in Europe: Through the Media Kaleidoscope

ISSUE 7 - Layers of aesthetics and ethics in Japanese pop culture

ISSUE 8 - Artists, aesthetics, and artworks from, and in conversation with, Japan part 1 (of 2)

ARTISTS, AESTHETICS, AND ARTWORKS FROM, AND IN CONVERSATION WITH, JAPAN PART 2

EDITED BY

MARCO PELLITTERI & JOSÉ ANDRÉS SANTIAGO IGLESIAS



Mutual Images – Issue 9 – Autumn 2020

MUTUAL IMAGES RESEARCH ASSOCIATION

MUTUAL IMAGES

A TRANSCULTURAL RESEARCH JOURNAL

Mutual Images is a semiannual, double-blind peer-reviewed and transcultural research journal established in 2016 by the scholarly, non-profit and independent Mutual Images Research Association, officially registered under French law (Loi 1901).

Mutual Images' field of interest is the analysis and discussion of the everchanging, multifaceted relations between Europe and Asia, and between specific European countries or regions and specific Asian countries or regions. A privileged area of investigation concerns the mutual cultural influences between Japan and other national or regional contexts, with a special emphasis on visual domains, media studies, the cultural and creative industries, and popular imagination at large.

Mutual Images is registered under the ISSN 2496-1868. This issue's Digital Object Identifier is: <u>HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.32926/9</u>.

As an international journal, *Mutual Images* uses English as a *lingua franca* and strives for multi-, inter- and/or trans-disciplinary perspectives.

As an Open Access Journal, *Mutual Images* provides immediate open access to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public supports a greater global exchange of knowledge.

© MUTUAL IMAGES RESEARCH ASSOCIATION

Mutual Images Journal by <u>Mutual Images Research Association</u> is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>.

DISCLAIMER ABOUT THE USE OF IMAGES IN OUR JOURNAL

Mutual Images is an academic journal: it is aimed to the scholarly analysis of ideas and facts related to literary, social, media-related, anthropological, and artistic phenomena in the Humanities. The authors of the journal avail themselves, for the contents of their contributions, of the right of citation and quotation, as in the Art. 10 of the *Berne Convention* and in the Title 17, § 107 of the *Copyright Act* (United States of America). The works hereby cited/quoted and the images reproduced—all of which include the mention of the creators and/or copyright owners—are aimed to validate a thesis, or constitute the premise for a confutation or discussion, or are part of an organised review, or anyway illustrate a scholarly discourse. The illustrations and photographs, in particular, are reproduced in low digital resolution and constitute specific and partial details of the original images. Therefore, they perform a merely suggestive function and fall in every respect within the fair use allowed by current international laws.

MUTUAL IMAGES RESEARCH ASSOCIATION: <u>www.mutualimages.org</u> OPEN ACCESS JOURNAL: <u>www.mutualimages-journal.org</u> CONTACT: <u>mutualimages@gmail.com</u>

MUTUAL IMAGES RESEARCH ASSOCIATION – Headquarters 3 allée de l'avenir, Les chênes entrée 3 64600 Anglet – France

MUTUAL IMAGES

A TRANSCULTURAL RESEARCH JOURNAL

MAIN EDITOR

Marco PELLITTERI, Department of Media and Communication, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Xi'ian Jiaotong—Liverpool University (China)

JOURNAL MANAGERS

Maxime DANESIN, Independent Researcher (France) Aurore YAMAGATA-MONTOYA, Independent Researcher (Spain)

EDITORIAL BOARD

Alejandra ARMENDÁRIZ-HERNÁNDEZ, Department of Sciences of Communication,
University Rey Juan Carlos (Spain); Matteo FABBRETTI, Graduate School of Core Ethics and
Frontier Sciences, Ritsumeikan University (Japan); Christopher J. HAYES, Sainsbury
Institute for the Study of Japanese Arts and Cultures (UK); Manuel HERNÁNDEZ-PÉREZ,
Faculty of Arts, Culture and Education, University of Hull (UK); Fabio Domenico PALUMBO,
Department of Ancient and Modern Civilizations, University of Messina (Italy); Marie
PRUVOST-DELASPRE, Department of Cinema and Audiovisual, New Sorbonne University
(France); Deborah Michelle SHAMOON, Department of Japanese Studies, National
University of Singapore (Singapore); Rik SPANJERS, Amsterdam School for Cultural
Analysis and Modern Dutch Language Department, Utrecht University (The Netherlands);
Bounthavy SUVILAY, Department of Modern Literature, University of Montpellier III
(France); Guido TAVASSI, Independent Researcher (Italy); Jamie TOKUNO, Independent
Researcher (USA)

SCIENTIFIC BOARD

Marco BELLANO, Department of Cultural Heritage, University of Padova (Italy); Jean-Marie BOUISSOU, International Research Centre, European Training Programme Japan, Sciences Po CERI (France); Christian GALAN, Centre of Japanese Studies (CEJ), INALCO, Paris (France); Marcello GHILARDI, Department of Philosophy, University of Padova (Italy); Paolo LA MARCA, Department of Humanities, University of Catania (Italy); Pascal LEFÈVRE, LUCA School of Arts, Campus Sint-Lukas Brussels (Belgium); Boris LOPATINSKY, Centre de recherche en études philologiques, littéraires et textuelles, Université Libre de Bruxelles (Belgium); Ewa MACHOTKA, Department of Asian, Middle Eastern and Turkish Studies, Stockholm University (Sweden); Paul M. MALONE, Waterloo Centre for German Studies, University of Waterloo (Canada); Toshio MIYAKE, Department of Asian and North African Studies, Ca' Foscari University of Venice (Italy); NAGATO Yohei, Kyōto Seika University (Japan) and Kyōto University of Art and Design (Japan); Nissim OTMAZGIN, Department of Asian Studies, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem (Israel); ÖTSUKA Eiji, The International Research Center for Japanese Studies, Kyōto (Japan); José Andrés SANTIAGO IGLESIAS, Department of Fine Arts, University of Vigo (Spain); WONG Heung Wah, School of Modern Languages and Literature, The University of Hong Kong (China)

Mutual Images

ISSUE 9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editorial – Vale, annus horribilis. Salve, annus mirabilis?
MARCO PELLITTERI (Xi'ian Jiaotong—Liverpool University, China)
& JOSÉ ANDRÉS SANTIAGO IGLESIAS (Universidade de Vigo, Spain)IX-XIV

ARTICLES

fluence and success of the Arabic edition of UFO Robo Grendizer: Adoption of a	
Japanese icon in the Arabic-speaking world	
KARIM EL MUFTI (Saint Joseph University of Beirut, Lebanon)	3-37
Japanese Princesses in Chicago: Representations of Japanese Women in the <i>San</i>	
Francisco Chronicle and Chicago Tribune (1872)	
AURORE YAMAGATA-MONTOYA (Independent Researcher, Spain)	<i>)</i> -65
Lolita fashion, new media, and cultural hegemony in contemporary Japan	
SHUAI ZIWEI (University College London, UK)	7-88

REVIEWS

Bachelor Japanists: Japanese Aesthetics and Western Masculinities - Christopher Reed	ł
TYRUS MILLER (University of California, Irvine, USA)	4

The Japanese Cinema Book – Fujiki Hideaki & Alastair Phillips (eds) **ALEJANDRA ARMENDÁRIZ-HERNÁNDEZ** (University Rey Juan Carlos, Spain)...........95-101

The Japanese Cinema Book – FUJIKI Hideaki & Alastair PHILLIPS (eds)

London: The British Film Institute/Bloomsbury, 2020, 624 p. Review by Alejandra ARMENDÁRIZ-HERNÁNDEZ | University Rey Juan Carlos, Spain

HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.32926/2020.9.R.ARM.CINEM

Date of submission: 17 December 2020 Date of acceptance: 18 December 2020 Date of publication: 20 December 2020

Japanese film studies is an academic discipline and research community focusing on the multifaceted aspects of Japanese cinema. Deeply interdisciplinary, it employs theories, critical approaches and methods from different fields such as film studies and cultural studies to understand Japanese films as works of art, cultural products and social practices. What makes a film "Japanese", and even what is a film, are far from easy questions, particularly in the globalised, transnational and digitalised world in which we now live, but nevertheless are issues that define the disciple and its historiography.

Yomota Inuhiko puts it simply in the preface to the English edition of his *What is Japanese Cinema* (2019), stressing that in the study of "Japanese cinema" the choice of which word to emphasize, "Japanese" or "cinema", makes a big difference:

Those who prioritize "cinema" will put all filmmakers [...] on one horizontal plane. The history of Japanese cinema is but a portion of the history of world cinema. That Kurosawa [Akira] is Japanese is merely incidental and what is significant is the extent to which his works contribute to the expansion of the global language of technical images. A person who loves cinema transcends ethnic or national boundaries.

In other words, from this point of view Japanese films as any film are universal and share the specific language of cinema, so anyone able to understand that language can appreciate and study them as part of the cinematic art. On the other hand, Japanese cinema can be also considered and studied as part of the Japanese culture. As Yomota argues:

From this perspective, it is impossible to ignore cinema's intimate connections with Japanese culture, from literature to painting and theater. [...] You need to know not just

the culture but also the realities of Japanese history and society.

For Yomota and this reviewer, a combination of both approaches is ideal. Japanese cinema cannot be understood without taking into consideration its cultural, industrial and historical context of production and reception within the Japanese society and history. However, the study of Japanese films is also intertwined with the histories, theories and developments of films and visual cultures worldwide and thus transcends the national framework to terms of interactions, audiences and meanings. Fortunately, in the past decades, the research on Japanese cinema, carried out inside and outside Japan, by Japanese and non-Japanese scholars, has steadily advanced in that direction. Recent anthologies, such as Miyao (2014) and Bernardi and Ogawa (2021) explore Japanese cinema from multiples perspectives, widening the scope of what is considered a Japanese film and thus Japanese film studies as a discipline.

Belonging to this trend, *the Japanese Cinema Book* departs from any "pre-given, monolithic, self-sufficient and stable" categorisation to question the "national boundary of 'Japanese' and the media boundary of 'cinema'" as something "fluid and contested on a number of levels" (p. 1). In fact, as stated in the introduction by its editors Fujiki Hideaki (Nagoya University) and Alastair Philips (University of Warwick), the book has come together on the consideration of Japanese cinema and its study in terms of multiplicity (of theoretical and methodological approaches), historical contextualisation and cross-boundary relations (p.11).

While contributors in every chapter analyse and engage with Japanese films and the specificities of Japanese cinema, the way *The Japanese Cinema Book* is organised by areas of study and key concepts shows a conscious attempt to position the volume within the general field of film studies. In the introduction, the editors argue that

"the emergence of a more rigorous and empirical Japanese cinema studies has also simultaneously resulted in a degree of marginalisation from the larger field of cinema and media studies. [...] It is still necessary to move beyond language barriers and boost collaboration between Japanese cinema studies and non-Japanese cinema and media studies" (p. 10).

Responding to this challenge, *The Japanese Cinema Book* is structured in seven thematic parts (1. Theories and Approaches; 2. Institutions and Industry; 3. Film Style; 4. Genre; 5. Time and Spaces of Representation; 6. Social Contexts, and 7. Flows and Interactions)

Alejandra Armendáriz-Hernández

which in fact can be seen as the different categories and frameworks applied in the wideranging study of films and cinema industries. Published by Bloomsbury on behalf of the British Film Institute (BFI), its title and structure of the publication are reminiscent of the seminal *The Cinema Book* edited by Pam Cook (first published by the BFI in 1985, and then in 1999 and 2007, co-edited with Mieke Bernink), which has become "a landmark film studies text, presenting in accessible form two decades of intellectual activity on the subject" (BFI 2020). In this sense, *The Japanese Cinema Book* might be seen as an effort to support the reintegration of Japanese film studies into cinema studies, going beyond the barrier of Japanese language and the status of Japanese films as mere objects representing Japanese culture and used to test and demonstrate Western film theory.

Grouped in those seven parts *The Japanese Cinema Book* presents 40 chapters written by Japanese and non-Japanese researchers based in institutions all around the world, mainly in Japan, North-America and the UK. Preceding the title of every chapter, there is a key concept that is analysed through the prism of Japanese cinema. Topics range from canonical elements in film theory, industry and aesthetics, such as Authorship, Spectatorship, Narrative, Technology, Stardom, Cinematography, Acting, Set design, Music and Melodrama, to more Japan-specific issues affecting and emerging from Japanese history and cinematic culture, for example, *Jidaigeki*, Anime, the Yakuza Film, Empire, The Occupation, and Japanese cinema in relation to its post-colonial history, Hollywood and Europe. Other thematic and interdisciplinary topics also discussed are Gender and Sexuality, Transmedia Relations, the Archive, Ecology, The City, Minority Cultures, Globalisation, and Transnational Remakes and adaptations, among others.

In its engagement with these key concepts and topics, chapters in *The Japanese Cinema Book* display different strategies and approaches. Some of the chapters explicitly reflect upon and historicise those concepts within the history of Japan, Japanese cinema and/or Japanese film studies, considering the discourses, meanings and relations permeating those notions. For instance, in chapter 1, Aaron Gerow examines the history and debates surrounding the studies of early Japanese cinema as a site where the notion of Japanese films as something Other, different from Hollywood and European cinemas, is negotiated and complicated by issues of nation, identity and the actual position of film scholars. Similarly, chapter 2 by Alexander Jacoby historically examines the consideration of the director as the film's primary author by film critics and scholars both in Japan and in the West, illuminating "broader questions about the nature of the medium, the workings of the industry and the place of a national cinema in an evolving international context" (p.39). Hori Hikari introduces in chapter 6 a historical and conceptual overview of the research on gender and sexuality representations in Japanese film studies through the fundamental categories of analysis in feminism film studies such as representation, women's film/and women's cinema (*josei eiga*), spectatorship and authorship.

One of the interesting elements in Gerow, Jacoby and Hori's chapters, which can also be found in most chapters of *The Japanese Cinema Book*, is their impetus to frame the discussion as a dialogue between Anglophone and Japanese-language scholarships. As Hori eloquently writes, it is a dialogue that "has not always involved direct communication" (p. 94) nor explicit relationships of influence. Nevertheless, she also recognises that "both scholarships have articulated urgent concerns that resonate with each other despite their different temporal and spatial locations" (p. 94). Other examples of this historicising approach are also developed in chapters 3 and 22: In the former, editor Fujiki Hideaki examines discourses and debates encompassing the notion of "spectator" as subject and agent, before studying the specific case of the construction of a racialised spectatorship ideal during the Japanese empire; in the latter, Thomas Lamarre explores different paradigms to situate and define 'what anime is' in terms of art history, animation films, television series and new media in contemporary Japan. A more chronological approach can also be found in chapters 7, 8, 9 and 13 which offer historical accounts of the developments of the Japanese studio system, exhibition sites, censorship rules and experimental filmmaking respectively.

Some other chapters focus on specific films, filmmakers, places and practices as case studies to analyse the proposed key concepts and topics, often combining sociohistorical and theoretical contextualisation with formal and narrative analysis. Films scrutinised in detail include: *That Night's Wife* (Ozu Yasujirō, 1930), examined in chapter 23 by Misono Ryoko in terms of modernity and melodrama; *The Love of Sumako the Actress* (Mizoguchi Kenji, 1947), employed by Kinoshita Chika to rethink film acting traditions in Japan (chapter 17); and Ōshima Nagisa's *Sing a Song of Sex* (1967) analysed by Ko Mika from an intersectional perspective in regard to the representation and position of *zainichi* Korean women in Japanese culture (chapter 34). Among the actors and directors discussed in depth are performer and singer Miwa Akihiro (chapter 12), horror film director Nakagawa Nobuo (chapter 21), yakuza films' heroine Fuji Junko (chapter 25) and documentalist Ogawa Shinsuke (chapter 26). In addition, there are also

ALEJANDRA ARMENDÁRIZ-HERNÁNDEZ

chapters which concentrate on films united by a particular topic or genre, such as chapter 24 on the musical genre with films featuring popular singers and actors Misora Hibari, Eri Chiemi and Yukimura Izumi, and the stimulating chapter on Ecology (27), which analyses the so-called 3.11 cinema (the March 2011 earthquake, tsunami and atomic meltdown) considering their representation of the human-nature interdependency through the visualisation of toxic and contaminated landscapes.

Discussions about places and locations, both physical and imaginary, are one of the main themes running throughout *The Japanese Cinema Book*. In terms of representations, there are chapters investigating the cinematic images of the rural landscapes (chapter 28) and the visual construction of the domestic space (chapter 29) and the city of Tokyo (chapter 30) in 1950s Japanese cinema. Important places for film viewing and preservation, such as film festivals and archives, are examined in chapter 11 and chapter 15. In the first one, Ma Ran adopts a double approach to the concept of *eigasai* (film festival), interrogating the history of screening Japanese films at European-American festivals and the film festival culture in Japan today. In chapter 15 Oliver Dew explores the notion of archive via the examination of home/amateur films and its film festival networks as local, decentred archives which transform the status of the film object. The historical and physical geographies of Japanese cinema are considered in chapters such as chapter 31 in which Yan Ni focuses on film production and reception in Japanese-occupied Shanghai, and chapter 38 in which Andrew Dorman deals with complex representations of Okinawa in Japanese films as defined by core-periphery exchange relationships.

Also, some chapters go beyond and complicate the understanding of Japanese cinema as cultural texts determined by the national boundaries of Japan. They deploy a transnational approach at different levels to highlight the hybridity and global nature of Japanese films and film discourses. For example, in chapter 4, Yamamoto Naoki explores the critical reception and influence of Soviet montage theory in Japanese cinema and film culture in the 1920's and 1930's; Cobus van Staden (chapter 35) investigates the global appeal of Japanese popular culture via fandom communities in South Africa; and Ryan Cook in chapter 40 closes the book with a study of the Japanese adaptation of *Casablanca*. In fact, the seventh and last part of the book discusses the flows and interactions present in Japanese cinema in relation to other Asian countries (chapter 36), Hollywood (chapter 37), Okinawa (chapter 38) and Europe (chapter 39). As suggested by this, necessarily concise, review of the content, *The Japanese Cinema Book* is a multifaceted piece of work offering the state of art in the study of Japanese films. While it certainly provides a balanced and diverse understanding of the subject, and covers a wide range of theoretical approaches, film and filmmakers, there is surely still room for development. The editors reasonably don't claim the book to be comprehensive nor to be the definitive account on Japanese cinema, but to "provoke stimulating debate and discussion within the numerous academic and cultural contexts around the world where the subjects of Japan and cinema continue to arise." (p.17). In this sense, one direction which could be explored further regards going beyond the English and Euro-American scholarship to include other geographical and linguistic areas in the production of knowledge on Japanese films, for example in relation to Latino American, Arab and African countries.

In any case, the diversity of perspectives available in *The Japanese Cinema Book* makes the volume compelling and relevant to both students and researchers of Japanese cinema, and to those interested in film culture anywhere. Moreover, the structure of the book makes it also a useful teaching material in English-speaking countries, not only in courses on Japanese culture within Japanese/East Asian studies, but also beyond these fields, in film and media courses, which are still too often centred in European and North American (i.e. Hollywood) cinemas and filmmakers. For instance, why not use this book and Japanese films to explore basic concepts in film studies such as film authorship and stardom? Or to discuss genre theories or film style? Publications such as *The Japanese Cinema Book* can surely help to incorporate non-Western cinemas to film studies courses widening students understanding of cinema and film studies as a discipline.

Last but not least, an extra positive point is the compact format and affordable price of the book, which makes it attractive and available not only to institutions or university libraries but to anyone interested in deepening their knowledge of Japanese films.

REFERENCES

BERNARDI, JOANNE — OGAWA, SHOTA T. (2021), *Routledge Handbook of Japanese Cinema*. Abingdon, Oxon; New York, NY: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

BRITISH FILM INSTITUTE (BFI) shop (2020), *The Cinema Book*. Available from: https://shop.bfi.org.uk/thecinema-book.html (18 December 2020).

MIYAO, DAISUKE (2014), The Oxford Handbook of Japanese Cinema, New York: Oxford University Press.

ALEJANDRA ARMENDÁRIZ-HERNÁNDEZ

YOMOTA, INUHIKO (2019), *What is Japanese Cinema*, translated by Philip Kaffen. New York: Columbia University Press. Originally published in Japanese as *Nihon eigashi 110 nen* (Tokyo: Shueisha, 2014).

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Alejandra ARMENDÁRIZ-HERNÁNDEZ is a PhD student at University Rey Juan Carlos in Madrid (Spain) completing a thesis on female authorship and representation in the films directed by Tanaka Kinuyo. She has been a visiting researcher at Meiji Gakuin University in Tokyo, with the support of the Monbusho Scholarship and the Japan Foundation Fellowship, and at Birkbeck University of London. Her research, teaching and publications focus on the study of female filmmakers in Japan, gender representations in East Asian culture and transnational film connections between Japan and Latin America.